

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/725,836	11/29/2000	Decai Sun	XER 2 0368	8717
7:	590 11/13/2002			
Albert P. Sharpe, III, Esq.			EXAMINER	
1100 Superior	gan, Minnich & McKee, Avenue, 7th Floor	LLP	LEE, JINHEE J	
Cleveland, OH 44114-2518		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2831	
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	09/725,836	SUN ET AL.	1/			
•-	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jinhee J Lee	2831	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
There final r condi	REPLY FILED 05 November 2002 FAILS TO PLAC fore, further action by the applicant is required to ave ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application () a timely filed amendment whicles	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in			
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
	X The period for reply expires <u>1</u> months from the mailing date						
b) [no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP			
fee hav fee und (2) as :	stensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The re been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The apporting the final of	ropriate extension Office action; or			
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ .they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(0	 they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(0) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.			
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):					
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.	The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the			
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly			
7. X	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>(-1%</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Exam	iner.			
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10.	Other:	Dean Ce. Bar DEAN A. RE		2			
		DEAN A. RE	ICHARD				

SUPERVISORY PATERIT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CLARKER 2800

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issue of "conductor material" and change in dependency of claim 16 requires further consideration...